

Case: 4:22-cv-00004
Assigned To : Nuffer, David
Assign. Date : 1/18/2022
Description: Grover v. Schilling

SUPPLEMENTARY PAGE
C. CAUSE OF ACTION
COUNT III

19

SUPPLEMENTARY PAGE

C. CAUSE OF ACTION

COUNT III (a):

SETTING THE STAGE FOR MY
PSI INTERVIEW WITH DEPUTY SCHILLING.

IN AUGUST OF 2019, TWO YEARS (24 MONTHS) AFTER BEING
SHOT 19 TIMES BY POLICE I WAS STILL BEING HOUSED IN PURGATORY
CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WORKING OUT THE EXACT SPECIFICS OF MY
CASE NO. 17501618.

SOMETIME AROUND THE ANNIVERSARY OF BEING SHOT 19 TIMES
I WENT CRAZY. MY LEGS HURT CONSTANTLY AND THE MEDICAL
DEPARTMENT AT PURGATORY CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WAS TREATING THE
PAIN IN MY LEGS WITH PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATION THAT
DID VERY LITTLE FOR THE PAIN.

I STARTED ACTING OUT DUE TO THE PAIN IN MY LEGS AND
THE FISTS FULL OF PSYCH MEDS. I FELT LIKE STAFF (WASHINGTON
COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTYS) WERE MISS-TREATING ME.

THEY PUT ME IN A BLOCK, A LEVEL ONE LOCK DOWN HOUSING
UNIT DUE TO MY BEHAVIOR WHERE I WOULD STAY FOR FIVE
MONTH UNTIL SUCH TIME AS I UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT I
WOULD BE TRANSFER OUT OF THEIR FACILITY.

DEPUTY SCHILLING WRITES IN THE P.S.I IN QUESTION

20

"THE DEFENDENT CONTINUES TO DISPLAY A POOR ATTITUDE IN GENERAL, AND EXTREMELY POOR ATTITUDE TOWARDS AUTHORITY FIGURES, SPECIFICALLY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS."

THE "LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS" TO WHOM SHE IS REFERRING TO WERE W.C.S.O. CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS TO WHOM HAD WRITTEN DISCIPLINARY REPORTS AGAINST ME FOR A VARIETY OF RULE VIOLATIONS.

THESE SAME CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS WERE DEPUTY SCHILLING CO-WORKERS (HER WORK FAMILY). SO WHEN IT CAME TIME FOR ME TO GET A PSI WRITTEN THESE SAME CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS I WAS HAVING PROBLEMS WITH WOULD "SIC" DEPUTY SCHILLING ON ME, WHICH WAS TOTALLY UNFAIR.

SO IN SHORT WHEN DEPUTY SCHILLING CAME TO MY CELL DOOR SHE DID SO KNOWING FULL WELL OF THE CONFLICT I WAS HAVING WITH A FEW OF HER CO-WORKERS. AND SHE CAME TO MY CELL HELL BENT AND INSINTLY STARTED IN ON ME ABOUT MY BEHAVIOR.

AS A W.C.S.O. DEPUTY WORKING AS A C.O. AT PURGATOR-1 CORRECTIONAL FACILITY SHE HAD ACCESS TO GO TO AND FROM THE CONTROL ROOM WHEREIN STAFF WOULD CONDUCT DAILY OPERATIONS. AS A CO-WORKER SHE WOULD HAVE LISTENED TO ALL THE COMPLAINTS OF CERTAIN STAFF I WAS HAVING PROBLEMS WITH AND THEREFORE ENTERED INTO THE PSI WITH PRECONCEIVED NOTIONS ABOUT HOW SHE WAS GOING TO ADDRESS ME.

21

Supplementary Page

C. CAUSE OF ACTION

COUNT III (b):

MISCONDUCT / UNPROFESSIONAL BEHAVIOR

W.C.S.O. Deputy Schilling, came to my cell door while I WAS BEING HOUSED IN A LEVEL ONE, LOCK DOWN HOUSING UNIT. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS THERE TO CONDUCT MY P.S.I. INTERVIEW AS I HAD ALREADY SUBMITTED MY P.S.I. APPLICATION TO APEP SOME FOUR OR SO WEEKS EARLIER.

SHE INSTANTLY STARTED IN ON ME, SHE STATED THAT SHE WAS ON DUTY THE NIGHT THAT K-9, TESS, WAS SHOT AND THAT SHE HAD WENT TO THE VETS OFFICE AND SIT WITH ALL THE OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER WHO WERE THERE.

AT SOME POINT I TOLD HER THAT I FELT THAT I SHOULD NOT BE SENT TO PRISON DUE TO THE FACT THAT THE POLICE HAD FIRED ALMOST 80 ROUNDS INTO THE TRUCK WHILE ME AND K-9 TESS WERE BOTH IN THE TRUCK AND THAT I HAD BEEN SHOT 19 TIMES, AND THAT THE PAIN I HAD ENDURED AND THE FACT THAT MY LEGS WERE ALL SHOT TO PIECES SHOULD BE PUNISHMENT ENOUGH.

SHE STATE THAT I HAD CAUSED THIS HUGE "CUNDIUNDROM" AND THAT I DESERVED TO BE SHOT. AT THIS POINT THE WHOLE OF THE P.S.I. INTERVIEW WAS NOTHING SHORT OF A FULL BLOWN

22

ARGUMENT.

SHE WRITES IN HER P.S.I.:

" OFFICERS OBSERVED TESS JUMP INSIDE THE VEHICLE AT WHICH TIME THEY HEARD A GUNSHOT AND TESS YELP. TESS MADE HER WAY TO THE BACK OF THE SUSPECT'S VEHICLE AS MULTIPLE OFFICERS ENGAGED IN FIRING THEIR SERVICE WEAPONS AT THE VEHICLE WITH THE DEFENDANT INSIDE."

THIS STATEMENT COULD NOT BE FURTHER FROM THE TRUTH. WHEN YOU WATCH ANY ONE OF 12 POLICE CAM VIDEOS YOU WILL SEE THE K-9 TESS JUMP INTO THE DRIVERS SIDE DOOR - FALL OUT - JUMP BACK IN - THE DOOR SLAMS SHUT FOLLOWED BY ABOUT 15 SECONDS OF GUN FIRE, THERE IS A "LULL" IN THE SHOOTING FOLLOWED BY ONE LAST "LATE" ROUND. IT WAS THEN THAT K-9 TESS STARTED "SCREAMING" AND JUMPED OUT OF THE DRIVERS SIDE WINDOW AND MADE HER WAY TO THE BACK OF THE TRUCK.

SHE WRITES IN HER P.S.I.:

" ... HE (THE OWNER CHARLIE, OF THE STOLEN TRUCK) INFORMED THEM (THE POLICE) A MAN (ME) HAD JUST PUNCHED HIM IN THE FACE AND STOLEN HIS WORK TRUCK."

AGAIN I TOLD HE I NEVER PUNCH ANYONE IN THE FACE.

23

AND THE WHOLE OF THE INTERACTION OF THE TRUCK BEING STOLEN IS
 CAUGHT ON A VIDEO CAMERA. I WOULD INVITE THE COURT TO
 WATCH THIS VIDEO.

I TOLD HER I NEVER PUNCH ANYONE, BUT SHE TOOK NO TIME
 TO EVEN CHECK.

SHE STATED IN HER P.S.I.:

"... I WAS AWARE HE HAD A LENGTHY AND SERIOUS CRIMINAL HISTORY
 AND WOULD LIKELY SCORE IN THE IMPRISONMENT CATEGORY."

DEPUTY SCHILLING CAME TO THE HOSPITAL FOR A 12 HOUR SHIFT FOR
 W.C.S.O. TO GUARD OVER ME AS I WAS UNDER GUARD WHILE I
 WAS IN THE HOSPITAL. SHE WAS DRESSED IN A FULL W.C.S.O.
 DEPUTY UNIFORM AND SHE WAS ARMED WITH A .45 CAL SIDE-
 ARM. WHILE SHE WAS GUARDING OVER ME SHE ASK ME A LARGE
 NUMBER OF QUESTION REGARDING MY CRIMINAL HISTORY. IN SHORT
 SHE WAS ALREADY STARTING TO COMPILE P.S.I. RELATED INFORMATION
 FROM ME.

IN TRUTH, I HAD A BETTER P.S.I. INTERVIEW WITH HER AT THE
 HOSPITAL WHILE SHE WAS GUARDING OVER ME IN HER W.C.S.O
 UNIFORM THAN I DID THROUGH MY CELL DOOR IN LOCK DOWN.
 AT LEAST THEN SHE DID NOT ATTACK ME LIKE SHE DID THROUGH
 MY CELL DOOR AT THE P.S.I. INTERVIEW. AT LEAST SHE WASN'T YELLING
 AT ME IN THAT ENCOUNTER UNLIKE THE P.S.I. INTERVIEW.

24

ON PAGE ONE OF MY PSI (COVER SHEET) YOU WILL SEE
UNDER OFFENCE:

THEFT OF A FIREARM OR OPERABLE VEHICLE,
and

CAUSE INJURY / DEATH TO POLICE SERVICE ANIMAL,

THESE LEGAL PHRASES ARE ALL THROUGH THE PSI SHE WROTE.
THESE "OFFENCE DESCRIPTIONS" ARE TOTALLY WRONG AND MEANT
TO CAUSE THE MOST DAMAGE AS POSSIBLE. AND IN TRUTH
HAS CAUSED ME A GREAT DEAL OF DAMAGE FROM THE B.O.P.P.
AND NUMEROUS CASE WORKERS OVER AND OVER, AGAIN AND AGAIN.

I PLEAD GUILTY TO:

THEFT OF PROPERTY (OPERABLE MOTOR VEHICLE),
and

INJURING OR INTERFERING WITH A POLICE SERVICE ANIMAL,

INFACT W.C.S.O. DEPUTY SCHILLING WRITES IN HER PSI:

"THE DEFENDANT REFERRED TO THE CURRENT OFFENCE OF; CAUSE
BODILY INJURY OR DEATH OF A POLICE SERVICE ANIMAL, AS A PROPERTY
CRIME..."

YOU CAN SEE HERE HOW SHE SLAYES THE FACT THAT I PLEAD GUILTY
TO THEFT OF PROPERTY AND TOTALLY TWIST THE TRUTH TO MAKE ME

25

LOOK AS CALLOUS AS POSSIBLE TO WHOM EVER READS HER PSI WRITTEN TO DO AS MUCH DAMAGE TO ME TO AS MANY PEOPLE WHO READ IT, OVER AND OVER AGAIN.

IN ENDING, DEPUTY SCHILLING HAD NO RIGHT TO COME TO MY CELL IN LOCK-DOWN AND TELL ME THAT I DESERVED TO BE SHOT BY POLICE - ARGUING AND TALKING DOWN TO ME ALL THE WHILE.

WHAT SHE DID TO ME WAS UNPROFESSIONAL AND JUST DOWN RIGHT MEAN.

I WOULD ASK THE JUDGE & OR JURY TO TAKE A CLOSE LOOK AT THE DISCREPANCIES OUTLINED IN THIS COMPLAINT, WATCH THE VIDEOS, LOOK AT THE D.O.C.'S LEVEL III GRIEVANCE, HER WORK DISCIPLINARY RECORD AND THE TONE IN WHICH THE IN QUESTION PSI WAS WRITTEN AND FIND HER GUILTY OF MISCONDUCT AND UNPROFESSIONAL BEHAVIOR.

ALVIE J. GROVER

Alvie J. Grover